UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,617	07/06/2001	Danny M. Nessett	3000-US-CIP	7382
56436 3COM CORPO	7590 12/26/2007 ORATION		EXAMINER	
350 CAMPUS	DRIVE		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
MARLBOROU	JGH, MA 01752-3064		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· AV
	09/900,617	NESSETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 C</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	·	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		-
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyartion is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	€ .
Attachmant(a)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 11 October 2007.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-11 are pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-11 have been rejected.
- 4. Claims 2, 3 and 12-72 have been cancelled.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al U.S. Patent No. 6,418,130 B1 in view of Dole U.S. Patent No. 6,628,786 B1.

As to claim 1, Cheng et al discloses a method of re-authenticating and protecting wireless communication security [column 3, lines 44-65], comprising the steps of: a) performing a secondary authentication protocol between a wireless client electronic system (client) and a wireless network access point electronic system (AP) using a key lease generated by performance of a primary authentication protocol [column 6, lines 26-44], wherein the key lease includes a key lease period for indicating a length of time in which the key lease is valid for

Art Unit: 2131

using the secondary authentication protocol instead of the primary protocol [column 6, lines 26-44], and wherein the second authentication protocol includes the steps of: a(i) transmitting the key lease from the client to the AP [column 6, lines 26-44]. Cheng et al discloses transmitting the key lease from the client to the AP [column 6, lines 26-44]. Cheng et al discloses that the key lease includes an encryption key for use in the secondary authentication protocol [column 6, lines 26-44].

Cheng et al does not teach a(ii) generating a first random number associated with the client and a second random number associated with the AP, wherein the key lease includes an encryption key for use in the secondary authentication protocol. Cheng et al does not teach a(iii) transmitting the first random number to the AP and the second random number to the client. Cheng et al does not teach b) if the secondary authentication protocol is successful, generating a session encryption key for encrypting communication traffic between the client and the AP, wherein the generating comprises: b(i) applying a hash function and the encryption key to the first random number and the second random number to determine the session encryption key. Cheng et al does not teach using the encryption key, the first random number, the second random number, and a hash function to determine the session encryption key. Cheng et al does not teach applying an HMAC-MD5 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number and the second random number to determine the session encryption key. Cheng et al does not teach applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number and the second random number to determine the session encryption key.

Art Unit: 2131

Dole teaches generating a first random number associated with the client and a second random number associated with the AP [column 6, lines 5-27]. Dole teaches transmitting the first random number to the AP and the second random number to the client [column 6, lines 5-27]. Dole teaches using the encryption key, the first random number, the second random number, and a hash function to determine the session encryption key [column 6, lines 28-36]. Dole teaches applying a HMAC-MD5 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number and the second random number to determine the session encryption key [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 2]. Dole teaches applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number and the second random number to determine the session encryption key [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 2].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Cheng et al so that random numbers would have been generated at the client and the AP. The client's random number would have been transmitted to the AP and the AP's random number would have been transmitted to the client. The two random numbers would have been concatenated. A hashing function and an encryption key would have been applied to the concatenated random numbers. The concatenated random numbers would have been hashed with either a HMAC-MD5 or a HMAC-SHA-1 hashing function.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Cheng et al by the teaching of Dole because this method improves the quality of entropy by allowing machines with no physical source of entropy to gather entropy by communicating with other machines and insure that machines that generate

Art Unit: 2131

many random session keys do not run the risk of depleting their local supplies of entropy [column 4, lines 45-60].

As to claim 6, Cheng et al teaches generating a first session encryption key for encrypting communication traffic from the client to the AP [column 6 line 45 to column 7 line 6]. Cheng et al teaches generating a second session encryption key for encrypting communication traffic from the AP to the client [column 6 line 45 to column 7 line 6].

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al U.S. Patent No. 6,418,130 B1 and Dole U.S. Patent No. 6,628,786 B1 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kessler et al U.S. Patent No. 6,789,147 B1.

As to claims 7-11, the Cheng-Dole combination does not teach using the encryption key, the first random number, the second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, a second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP, and a hash function to determine the first and second session encryption keys. The Cheng-Dole combination does not teach applying a HMAC-MD5 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number, the second random number, the first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, and the second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP to determine the first session encryption key. The Cheng-Dole combination does not teach applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number, the second random number, the first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, and the second media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, and the second media access control (MAC) address associated with the first session encryption key. The Cheng-Dole combination does not teach applying a HMAC-MD5 algorithm and the encryption key on a

Art Unit: 2131

concatenation of the first random number, the second random number, the second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP, and the first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client to determine the second session encryption key. The Cheng et al-Dole combination does not teach applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and the encryption key on a concatenation of the first random number, the second random number, the second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP, and the first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client to determine the second session encryption key.

Kessler et al teaches using a encryption key, a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, a second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP, and a hash function to determine a first and second session encryption keys [column 5, lines 18-37]. Kessler et al teaches applying a HMAC-MD5 algorithm and a encryption key on a concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client, and a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP to determine a first session encryption key [column 7 line 54 to column 8 line 10]. Kessler et al teaches applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and a encryption key on a concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client, and a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP to determine a first session encryption key [column 7 line 54 to column 8 line 10]. Kessler et al teaches applying a HMAC-MD5 algorithm and a encryption key on a concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP, and a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client to determine a second session

Art Unit: 2131

encryption key [column 7 line 54 to column 8 line 10]. Kessler et al teaches applying a HMAC-SHA-1 algorithm and a encryption key on a concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP, and a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client to determine a second session encryption key [column 7 line 54 to column 8 line 10].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Cheng-Dole combination so that a encryption key, a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with the client, a second media access control (MAC) address associated with the AP, and a hash function would have been used to determine a first and second session encryption keys. The first session encryption key would have been determined by applying either a HMAC-MD5 or HMAC-SHA-1 hashing function and a encryption key to the concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client, and a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP. The second session encryption key would have been determined by applying either a HMAC-MD5 or HMAC-SHA-1 hashing function and a encryption key to the concatenation of a first random number, a second random number, a first media access control (MAC) address associated with a client, and a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a client, and a second media access control (MAC) address associated with a AP.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Cheng-Dole combination by the teaching of Kessler et al because it provides a system that does not require a large amount of resources to be consumed

Art Unit: 2131

with establishing secure sessions and it reduces latency and provides enhanced security [column 2, lines 27-39].

## Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

09/900,617

Art Unit: 2131

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy December 20, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100